

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 5708 E S SB	Title: Online services/minors	Agency: 055-Administrative Office of the Courts
---------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--

Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Expenditures from:

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☒ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note for Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact: John Kim	Phone: 360-786-7453	Date: 03/13/2025
Agency Preparation: Chris Conn	Phone: 360-704-5512	Date: 03/17/2025
Agency Approval: Chris Stanley	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 03/17/2025
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

205,375.00

Request # 302-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

This bill adds a chapter to Title 19 RCW that limits the bill’s applicability to addictive internet-based services or application (AIBSA) providers and requires them to estimate the age of minor users with reasonable certainty commensurate with risks posed by their data management practices. It also bars them from providing AIBSA feeds to minors and limit the hours within which push notifications may be sent to minors.

Section 8 details that noncompliance with these measures is a consumer protection act violation.

This substitute bill retains the same court impacts as the original bill but adds no more.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

None

II. C - Expenditures

Indeterminate. Section 8 will increase court workloads to the extent consumer protection act claims brought for bill violations, and the increase could be significant as the bill imposes numerous duties on providers which could be violated. The Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) has no data available to estimate the number of violations that would be filed because of this bill.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. D - FTE Detail

NONE

III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

NONE

IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)

NONE

IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

None